

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	
eponega, po	0.5 (4.75)	12/4M.E.C.62		18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1	
_		٦ [EXA	AMINER	
HMIII-9819 ATERHANIE BEICMAN			Man of CTCT TOWARDS (1944)		
	EMAN WHITE %	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	Long the Transfer		V# 684	10	
			DATE MAILED:	tako da 185	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

CT FR

ication No.	Applican

Office	A	ctio	n S	um	m	arv
OIIICE.	\neg	<i>, , , , ,</i>	,, <u> </u>			~· ,

Appl 09/395,409

Cantor et al.

Examiner

Scott Houtteman

Art Unit 1656



The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ers on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS S THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a result of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less	nn i
be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.	od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
Status	
1) 🕅 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Apr 6, 2</u>	2001
Zu/ A Tillo dottor to Till III	iction is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1-55, 58-60, 63-77, and 86-127</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>88-127</u>	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	
6) X Claim(s) 1-55, 58-60, 63-77, 86, and 87	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requiren
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∏ approved b) disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a)☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents had 	ave been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ave been received in Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the international Bur *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the company of the priority of the certified copies. 	documents have been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). the certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Till Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Uninformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Other

Serial No. 09/395,409 Art Unit 1656

- 1. Applicant's response, filed 4/6/01, has been carefully considered with the following effect:

 The rejections of paragraph 2, Office action mailed 10/04/01, has been maintained.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Newly submitted claims 88-127 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Claims 88-127 are drawn to newly claimed methods of detection comprising gel electrophoresis, capillary electrophoresis, chromatography and nuclear magnetic resonance, mass spectrometry and to probe arrays comprising "4^R probes with a variable region of length R and single-stranded portions and double stranded portions." These detection methods are classified in class 204, subclass 450; class 250, sub. 281; class 314, sub. 546 and class 210, sub 656.

4. The newly presented inventions and those originally presented are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed (the detection means) for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In this case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed. This is show because claim 88 lists four different methods of detection subcombinations. The subcombinations have separate utility such as



Serial No. 09/395,409 Art Unit 1656

methods of identifying unknown compounds methods of determining precise mass and isotope concentrations.

A burden is shown by the separate classifications. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 88-127 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

- 5. Claims 1-55, 58-60, 63-77, 86 and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khrapko et al., J. DNA Sequencing and Mapping 1:375-388, 1991 (Khrapko) in view of Drmanac et al., DNA and Cell Biology 9(7):527-534, 1990 (Drmanac).
- 6. Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach a molecular weight determination step.

 This argument is not persuasive. The prior art teach methods of sequencing of nucleic acid. All nucleic acid sequencing methods involve first a fragmentation step and then a method of determining the molecular weight of the fragment. Thus a molecular weight step is implicit in any prior art method of nucleic acid sequencing.
- 7. Applicant's remaining arguments refer to limitations that are only in the newly presented claims.

Serial No. 09/395,409 Art Unit 1656

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center 1600 Fax numbers are (703) 305-3014 and 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Houtteman whose telephone number is (703) 308-3885. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 8:30 AM - 3:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached at (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Scott Houtteman June 18, 2001

SCOTT W. HOUTTEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

) A de Bout